UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,212	01/13/2005	Max Segerljung	821-69	2742
Dilworth & Bar	7590 04/13/2007		EXAM	INER
333 Earle Oving	gton Boulevard	LOPEZ, FRANK D		
Uniondale, NY	11553		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3745	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	04/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		W	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/521,212	SEGERLJUNG, MAX	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	F. Daniel Lopez	3745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb	oruary 2, 2007.		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	ccepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	ı .
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in a ority documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 2, 2007 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed February 2, 2007, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection. The new grounds of rejection are necessitated by the added limitations that there is at most a single valve in first and second passages connecting a single pump to first and second ports of an hydraulic drive means.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 2-4, 7, 13-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, 3 line 2, claim 4 line 3, claim 7 line 4 and claim 21 line 5 "said circuit" and claim 13 line 5 "said conduit circuit" has no antecedent basis, since it was deleted from claim 1 and replaced by first and second passages. There may be other occurrences of "circuit, which may need to be amended, depending on how these claims are amended.

In claim 21 line 5-6 "said single valve positioned...between said pump...and one...of said...chambers" appears to repeat the limitation of claim1 line 18-19. Furthermore, it is unclear whether this claim is claiming that the single valve is in one of the passages or may be in one of the passages (i.e. is a or is at most a single valve).

Claims not specifically mentioned are indefinite, since they depend from one of the above claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 12-15 and 21, inasmuch as they are definite, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Gellatly. Gellatly discloses a hydraulic system comprising a hydraulic drive means including a cylinder (11, column 3 line 33-34) having chambers on opposite sides of a piston, connected, by first and second passages (28, 33), respectively, to first and second ports (16, 17) of a pump (12), driven by an electric motor (13); with a single valve (36) in one of the first and second passages; wherein a tank (14) is connected to the first and second passages, by first (e.g. 22) and second (e.g. 37) conduits, each having a valve (23, 45, respectively).

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 10, 12-17 20 and 21, inasmuch as they are definite, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Hewett (see discussion below). Note that the limitation "at most a single valve " (claim 1 line 18) includes no valve.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Page 4

Claims 3, 5, 7-9, 11, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Hewett in view of Rosman. Hewett discloses a hydraulic system comprising a hydraulic drive means including a cylinder (12) having chambers on opposite sides of a piston, connected, by first and second passages (36, 38; 44, 46), respectively, to first and second ports (32, 34) of a pump (30), driven by a motor (31); wherein a tank (50) is connected to the first and second passages, by first (e.g. 58) and second (e.g. 48) conduits, each having a valve (60, 42, respectively); wherein the motor is powered by energy regenerated by means for regenerating mechanical energy transmitted to the hydraulic drive means, due to loading of the hydraulic drive means (e.g. column 3 line 26-31); but does not disclose that the motor is an electric motor, that the pump controls a flow rate of liquid in the passages to control the effective rate of the drive means; that the regeneration means includes the motor driven as a generator by the pump, with at least one rechargeable battery to store the regenerated energy; wherein the motor is powered by the regenerated energy stored in the battery.

Rosman teaches, for a hydraulic system comprising a hydraulic drive means including a cylinder (17) having a chamber, connected, by a passage (38), to a pump (30), driven by an electric motor (31); and having a tank (17) connected to the conduit by valves (43, 44); wherein the motor is powered by energy regenerated by means for regenerating mechanical energy transmitted to the hydraulic drive means, due to loading of the hydraulic drive means (e.g. column 3 line 26-31); that the regeneration means includes the motor, being an electric motor, and being driven as a generator by the pump, with at least one rechargeable battery (32) to store the regenerated energy; wherein the motor is powered by the regenerated energy stored in the battery (column 1 line 66- column 2 line 2); and that the pump is a variable flow pump, which controls a flow rate of liquid in the passages, for the purpose of controlling the effective rate of the drive means.

Since Hewett discloses a need for regenerating energy and Rosman discloses a system for regenerating energy; it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one having ordinary skill in the art to use a regeneration means, which includes the motor, being an electric motor, and being driven as a generator by the pump, with at least one rechargeable battery to store the regenerated energy; wherein the motor is powered by the regenerated energy stored in the battery, for the energy regeneration means of Hewett, as taught by Rosman, as a matter of engineering expediency.

Since Hewett and Rosman are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Rosman would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Hewett. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one having ordinary skill in the art to make the pump of Hewett a variable flow pump, which controls a flow rate of liquid in the passages, as taught by Rosman, for the purpose of controlling the effective rate of the drive means.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan Lopez whose telephone number is 571-272-4821. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:15 AM -3:45 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ed Look, can be reached on 571-272-4820. The fax number for this group is 571-273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature should be directed to the Help Desk, whose telephone number is 1-800-PTO-9199.

F. Daniel Lopez
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3745 April 3, 2007